

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 301032

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-13 ISO-00 FEA-01 USIE-00 ERDA-05 AID-05
CEA-01 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 EB-08 FPC-01 H-01
INR-07 INT-05 L-03 NSAE-00 OMB-01 PM-05 OES-07
SP-02 SS-15 STR-05 TRSE-00 ACDA-12 NSCE-00 /110 R

DRAFTED BY EUR/RPE:WHDAMERON:CFS

APPROVED BY EUR:JEGOODBY

EUR/SOV:JTREICHEL

EUR/RPM:JKORNBLUM

EUR/RPE:KSTOCKER

EUR/RPE:AALBRECHT

S/P:PKAPLAN

EB/ORF/FSE:RMARTIN

C:RHOPPER

EP:LKAHN

DOE:SJACKSON

-----085025 170057Z /66

O P 162330Z DEC 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BELGRADE IMMEDIATE

INFO USMISSION USNATO PRIORITY

USMISSION GENEVA

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 301032

BELGRADE/USDEL CSCE, BRUSSELS/USEEC, PARIS/USOECD

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: CSCE, OCON, ECON, ENRG

SUBJECT:BELGRADE CSCE: SOVIET AND AUSTRIAN ENERGY PROPOSALS

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 301032

REFS: (A) BELGRADE 8854; (B) STATE 286549; (C) STATE 255503

1. WE BELIEVE THAT MODALITIES FOR HIGH-LEVEL ENERGY
CONFERENCE WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED AT 32ND PLENARY OF THE
ECE, AND THAT FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF EXPERT LEVEL MEETINGS
ON ENERGY SHOULD TAKE PLACE AFTER DECISION ON POSSIBLE

HIGH-LEVEL ENVIRONMENT MEETING. THUS ANY PROPOSAL ADDRESS-
ING POSSIBILITIES FOR A HIGH-LEVEL MEETING SHOULD, WE
BELIEVE, GO NO FURTHER THAN CAREFULLY CONSIDERED INSTRUC-
TIONS IN REF C. WE COULD SUPPORT REFERRAL OF A POSSIBLE
CONFERENCE TO THE ECE FOR STUDY ACCORDING TO PROCEDURES
ALREADY ESTABLISHED AT THE 32ND PLENARY, IF REPEAT IF EC
REACHES CONSENSUS FIRST THAT IT WISHES TO SUPPORT SOVIET
PROPOSAL ALONG THESE LINES OR MAKE SUCH A PROPOSAL ITSELF.
WE WOULD, OF COURSE, LIKE TO WORK WITH EC AND PROVIDE
INPUT IN REACHING THAT CONSENSUS. WE HAVE CONVEYED OUR
VIEWS IN BRUSSELS AND USDEL IS AUTHORIZED TO DO SO IN
BELGRADE.

2. AFTER OCTOBER 18 EC FOREIGN MINISTERS COUNCIL MEETING,
EC INDICATED IT MIGHT PUT FORTH ITS OWN ENERGY PROPOSAL.
USDEL REQUESTED TO REPORT DEVELOPING EC THINKING AND PLANS,
IF THIS IS STILL THE CASE. HOWEVER, YOU SHOULD NOT
REPEAT NOT PRESS EC TO PUT FORTH ITS OWN PROPOSAL.

3. THE AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL IS INNOCUOUS ON THE SURFACE.
HOWEVER, AS NOTED BY ROMANIANS IT PROVIDES SPECIFIC
INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ECE, AND OPENS DOOR FOR BUILDING OR
EXPANDING ON ENERGY BALANCE STUDY, POSSIBLY OUTSIDE OF
FRAMEWORK ALREADY ESTABLISHED IN RESOLUTION ONE AND
DECISION H OF ECE 32ND PLENARY, WHICH INSURED THAT USG
HAS AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTROL CONSIDERATION OF ENERGY
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 301032

MATTERS CAREFULLY, AS WE HAVE ON ENVIRONMENT SUBJECT.

4. IF EC WERE TO REACH CONSENSUS TO SUPPORT REVISED
AUSTRIAN (NON-PAPER, REF A) PROPOSAL, OR VARIANT THEREOF,
AS FALL-BACK POSITION AND COMPROMISE TO SOVIET INITIATIVE,
USG MIGHT AT SOME FUTURE TIME AGREE, WITH CERTAIN WORDING
CHANGES IN PARAGRAPH TWO. PHRASE QUOTE AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE UNQUOTE SHOULD BE DELETED, FOR EXAMPLE. EXPERT
MEETINGS MUST BE CAREFULLY PLANNED AND FOLLOW ENVIRONMENT
WORK. QUOTE ENERGY BALANCE FOR EUROPE UNQUOTE MIGHT BE
CHANGED TO READ QUOTE ENERGY BALANCE FOR THE PARTICIPATING
STATES UNQUOTE. PHRASE QUOTE WILL BE DRAWN UP UNQUOTE
IS TOO SPECIFIC. SUGGESTION IN AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL THAT
POSSIBLE FIRST STEP COULD REPEAT COULD BE DRAWING UP AN
ENERGY BALANCE FOR PARTICIPATING STATES MIGHT BE ACCEPT-
ABLE. PHRASE QUOTE SERVE AS A BASIS FOR THE FORMULATION
OF CONCRETE PROPOSALS FOR THE POSSIBLE FIELDS UNQUOTE
SHOULD ALSO BE MODIFIED. IN LIEU OF QUOTE SERVE AS A
BASIS---UNQUOTE TO END OF PARAGRAPH, USG SUGGESTED WORDING
WOULD BE QUOTE SERVE AS A BASIS FOR DETERMINING FUTURE
COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY UNQUOTE.

5. DRAFTING SUGGESTIONS TO AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL GIVEN IN PARAGRAPH 5 ABOVE ARE FOR USDEL USE IN POINTING OUT TO EC REPS DIFFICULTIES WE HAVE WITH PROPOSAL AS IT NOW STANDS. DEPARTMENT CONTINUES TO VIEW AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL AS A POSSIBLE COMPROMISE WHICH WE ARE NOT REPEAT NOT PREPARED TO CONCEDE AT THIS TIME.

6. FYI. WE WOULD HOPE THAT A PROPERLY MODIFIED AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL MIGHT EMERGE AS A COMPROMISE IN THE FINAL PHASE OF THE BELGRADE CONFERENCE. YOU SHOULD USE DRAFTING SUGGESTIONS ABOVE TO THAT END. WE DO NOT WANT TO GIVE OUR SU T NOW, ONLY TO SEE COMPROMISE EMERGE BETWEEN GROUNDS OF PRESENT AUSTRIAN AND SOVIET PROPOSALS IN FINAL WEEKS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 301032

7. IT IS NOT CLEAR THAT UK REP'S REMARKS (PARAGRAPH 4, REF A) REPRESENT CLEAR EC CONSENSUS. IN DISCUSSIONS WITH EC REPS, USDEL SHOULD MAKE EVERY ATTEMPT TO CLARIFY ACTUAL EC CONSENSUS ON SOVIET AND AUSTRIAN PROPOSALS. AFTER THESE HAVE BEEN REPORTED TO DEPARTMENT WE CAN BEST ADDRESS HOW TO PROCEED. VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 301032
ORIGIN EUR-04

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /005 R

66011

DRAFTED BY:EUR/RPE:WHDAMERON

APPROVED BY:EUR/RPE:WHDAMERON

-----104136 201058Z /11

R 200500Z DEC 77

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS

AMEMBASSY VIENNA

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 301032

FOLLOWING REPEAT STATE 301032 SENT ACTION BELGRADE INFO

USNATO GENEVA PARIS BRUSSELS MOSCOW DEC 16.

QUOTE C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 301032

BELGRADE/USDEL CSCE, BRUSSELS/USEEC, PARIS/USOECD

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: CSCE, OCON, ECON, ENRG

SUBJECT:BELGRADE CSCE: SOVIET AND AUSTRIAN ENERGY PROPOSALS

REFS: (A) BELGRADE 8854; (B) STATE 286549; (C) STATE 255503

1. WE BELIEVE THAT MODALITIES FOR HIGH-LEVEL ENERGY CONFERENCE WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED AT 32ND PLENARY OF THE ECE, AND THAT FURTHER CONSIDERATION OF EXPERT LEVEL MEETINGS ON ENERGY SHOULD TAKE PLACE AFTER DECISION ON POSSIBLE HIGH-LEVEL ENVIRONMENT MEETING. THUS ANY PROPOSAL ADDRESSING POSSIBILITIES FOR A HIGH-LEVEL MEETING SHOULD, WE BELIEVE, GO NO FURTHER THAN CAREFULLY CONSIDERED INSTRUCTIONS IN REF C. WE COULD SUPPORT REFERRAL OF A POSSIBLE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 301032

CONFERENCE TO THE ECE FOR STUDY ACCORDING TO PROCEDURES ALREADY ESTABLISHED AT THE 32ND PLENARY, IF REPEAT IF EC REACHES CONSENSUS FIRST THAT IT WISHES TO SUPPORT SOVIET PROPOSAL ALONG THESE LINES OR MAKE SUCH A PROPOSAL ITSELF. WE WOULD, OF COURSE, LIKE TO WORK WITH EC AND PROVIDE INPUT IN REACHING THAT CONSENSUS. WE HAVE CONVEYED OUR VIEWS IN BRUSSELS AND USDEL IS AUTHORIZED TO DO SO IN BELGRADE.

2. AFTER OCTOBER 18 EC FOREIGN MINISTERS COUNCIL MEETING, EC INDICATED IT MIGHT PUT FORTH ITS OWN ENERGY PROPOSAL. USDEL REQUESTED TO REPORT DEVELOPING EC THINKING AND PLANS, IF THIS IS STILL THE CASE. HOWEVER, YOU SHOULD NOT REPEAT NOT PRESS EC TO PUT FORTH ITS OWN PROPOSAL.

3. THE AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL IS INNOCUOUS ON THE SURFACE. HOWEVER, AS NOTED BY ROMANIANS IT PROVIDES SPECIFIC INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE ECE, AND OPENS DOOR FOR BUILDING OR EXPANDING ON ENERGY BALANCE STUDY, POSSIBLY OUTSIDE OF FRAMEWORK ALREADY ESTABLISHED IN RESOLUTION ONE AND DECISION H OF ECE 32ND PLENARY, WHICH INSURED THAT USG HAS AMPLE OPPORTUNITY TO CONTROL CONSIDERATION OF ENERGY MATTERS CAREFULLY, AS WE HAVE ON ENVIRONMENT SUBJECT.

4. IF EC WERE TO REACH CONSENSUS TO SUPPORT REVISED AUSTRIAN (NON-PAPER, REF A) PROPOSAL, OR VARIANT THEREOF, AS FALL-BACK POSITION AND COMPROMISE TO SOVIET INITIATIVE,

USG MIGHT AT SOME FUTURE TIME AGREE, WITH CERTAIN WORDING
CHANGES IN PARAGRAPH TWO. PHRASE QUOTE AS SOON AS
POSSIBLE UNQUOTE SHOULD BE DELETED, FOR EXAMPLE. EXPERT
MEETINGS MUST BE CAREFULLY PLANNED AND FOLLOW ENVIRONMENT
WORK. QUOTE ENERGY BALANCE FOR EUROPE UNQUOTE MIGHT BE
CHANGED TO READ QUOTE ENERGY BALANCE FOR THE PARTICIPATING
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 301032

STATES UNQUOTE. PHRASE QUOTE WILL BE DRAWN UP UNQUOTE
IS TOO SPECIFIC. SUGGESTION IN AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL THAT
POSSIBLE FIRST STEP COULD REPEAT COULD BE DRAWING UP AN
ENERGY BALANCE FOR PARTICIPATING STATES MIGHT BE ACCEPT-
ABLE. PHRASE QUOTE SERVE AS A BASIS FOR THE FORMULATION
OF CONCRETE PROPOSALS FOR THE POSSIBLE FIELDS UNQUOTE
SHOULD ALSO BE MODIFIED. IN LIEU OF QUOTE SERVE AS A
BASIS---UNQUOTE TO END OF PARAGRAPH, AFG SUGGESTED WORDING
WOULD BE QUOTE SERVE AS A BASIS FOR DETERMINING FUTURE
COOPERATION IN THE FIELD OF ENERGY UNQUOTE.

5. DRAFTING SUGGESTIONS TO AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL GIVEN IN
PARAGRAPH 5 ABOVE ARE FOR USDEL USE IN POINTING OUT TO EC
REPS DIFFICULTIES WE HAVE WITH PROPOSAL AS IT NOW STANDS.
DEPARTMENT CONTINUES TO VIEW AUSTRIAN PROPOSAL AS A
POSSIBLE COMPROMISE WHICH WE ARE NOT REPEAT NOT PREPARED
TO CONCEDE AT THIS TIME.

6. FYI. WE WOULD HOPE THAT A PROPERLY MODIFIED AUSTRIAN
PROPOSAL MIGHT EMERGE AS A COMPROMISE IN THE FINAL PHASE
OF THE BELGRADE CONFERENCE. YOU SHOULD USE DRAFTING
SUGGESTIONS ABOVE TO THAT END. WE DO NOT WANT TO GIVE OUR
SU T NOW, ONLY TO SEE COMPROMISE EMERGE BETWEEN GROUNDS
OF PRESENT AUSTRIAN AND SOVIET PROPOSALS IN FINAL WEEKS.

7. IT IS NOT CLEAR THAT UK REP'S REMARKS (PARAGRAPH 4,
REF A) REPRESENT CLEAR EC CONSENSUS. IN DISCUSSIONS WITH
EC REPS, USDEL SHOULD MAKE EVERY ATTEMPT TO CLARIFY
ACTUAL EC CONSENSUS ON SOVIET AND AUSTRIAN PROPOSALS.
AFTER THESE HAVE BEEN REPORTED TO DEPARTMENT WE CAN BEST
ADDRESS HOW TO PROCEED. VANCEUNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: PROGRAMS (PROJECTS)
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 16-Dec-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE301032
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: WHDAMERON:CFS
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770470-0370
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19771245/aaaabl wz.tel
Line Count: 266
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 53e18601-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 5
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: 77 BELGRADE 8854, 77 STATE 286549, 77 STATE 255503
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01-Dec-2004 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 200250
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: BELGRADE CSCE: SOVIET AND AUSTRIAN ENERGY PROPOSALS CONFIDENTIAL CONFIDENTIAL
TAGS: OCON, ECON, ENRG, AS, CSCE
To: BELGRADE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/53e18601-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009